

**Forum:** United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

**Issue #1:** Confronting the conflict between innovation and the preservation of world heritage

**Student Officer:** Michelle Aedo, Sijia Ding

**Position:** Chair of the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

---



Hyde, Amanda. "Mapped: All of the planet's 1,223 World Heritage Sites." The Telegraph, 31 July 2024, <https://rb.gy/3acipn>

## Introduction

Throughout the 21st century, the pace of technological advancement has reshaped societies in both transformational and disruptive ways. From smart cities and AI-driven infrastructure to mass tourism and virtual reconstruction, innovation has brought unprecedented opportunities for economic growth and accessibility worldwide. However, these developments often collide with the task of protecting and preserving

world heritage sites - irreplaceable and meaningful cultural, historical, and natural landmarks recognized by UNESCO on a global scale.

The tension between modernization and preservation is not new. However, it has consistently intensified as rapid development projects and digital innovations increasingly violate or even erase centuries-old heritage. The dilemma is as much ethical as it is practical. The question is, how can we embrace innovation while still honoring the legacies of the past?

The debate has become complicated and multifaceted since it is increasingly more intertwined with global practices, and because it places economic and technological advancements against cultural responsibility. Addressing this conflict is of urgent importance. As the world moves further into the digital age, the decisions made today will determine what fragments of our shared human story remain intact for future generations.

### Definition of Key Terms

**Cultural Heritage:** The legacy of traditions, practices, values, places, and objects passed down from generation to generation. It includes both tangible and intangible elements that represent a culture and its history (UNESCO, 2024).

**Outstanding Universal Value (OUV):** The cultural and/or natural significance of the heritage that is important to the world, throughout history, and the future.

**World Heritage Site (WHS):** An official term to refer to sites, monuments, or areas that have been recognized by UNESCO for their outstanding universal value (OUV) to humanity, including both cultural and natural heritage.

**Integrity:** The credibility, truthfulness, wholeness, and intactness of a cultural heritage's attributes in conveying its outstanding universal value.

**Preservation:** The safeguarding, protection, maintenance, and restoration of heritage sites and traditions to ensure that they can continue to have an impact on future

generations (IGI Global).

**Innovation:** The introduction of new ideas, technologies, and methods that can improve or transform existing practices, sometimes posing challenges to world heritage, but also offering protection and promotion.

**Digital Heritage:** The use of digital technologies (such as 3D modeling, interactive maps, and virtual reality) to document, safeguard, and promote world heritage.

**Sustainable Development:** Development that meets present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet theirs, integrating heritage protection into broader planning and innovation efforts.

**Adaptive Reuse:** The process of repurposing heritage sites or buildings for new uses while retaining their historical features and significance.

**Conflict Zones:** Areas affected by armed conflicts or instability, where heritage faces high risks of looting, destruction, or loss. Thus, preservation in these areas becomes particularly challenging.

**Integration:** Considering social, economic, and environmental growth while respecting and preserving heritage (Sustainability Directory).

**Commercialization:** The process of using cultural heritage for economic gain by turning it into products, services, or experiences that are sold.

**Stakeholders:** All parties interested in heritage preservation, including local communities, governments, NGOs, experts, and innovators (UNESCO).

## General Overview

### The Balance Between Urban Development and Heritage Preservation

Urbanization and the pressures of modern development present ongoing challenges to the preservation of world heritage. According to UNESCO (2021), more than half of the

sites on the World Heritage List are located in urban areas, making them vulnerable to destruction from modern construction. Large-scale development projects, such as highway expansions and high-rise buildings, often prioritize economic growth over cultural preservation (Labordi, 2013). A prominent example includes the construction near the historic center of Vienna, which led UNESCO to place the city on the List of World Heritage in Danger in 2017 (UNESCO, 2017). Additionally, the World Bank has noted that although sustainable urban planning models exist, they are not widely implemented, primarily due to short-term economic incentives. This is especially prevalent in countries that are facing economic hardships, where resources are overly directed towards recovery and short-term economic growth. This leaves little budget for long-term heritage protection (Labadi, 2013). Scholars argue that without integrating heritage preservation into broader development and reconstruction strategies, innovation risks permanently displacing cultural heritage (Silverman and Ruggles 2007).

### **Safeguarding in Conflict Zones**

Heritage sites and intangible traditions are vulnerable in regions affected by armed conflicts, natural disasters, or political instability. The destruction of monuments, forced migration, and the decline in passing down cultural practices threaten the survival of world heritage. UNESCO notes that during periods of war and political instability, governments cannot enforce protective measures, leaving heritage sites vulnerable to looting, destruction, or neglect (UNESCO 2021). In countries such as Syria, Iraq, and Yemen, internationally recognized monuments like Palmyra and the Old City of Sana'a have suffered irreversible damage due to conflict (Stone, 2019). Militias and extremist groups have deliberately destroyed cultural landmarks to erase historical identity, while many use this as an opportunity to exploit weakened state control to traffic cultural heritage illegally (UNODC, 2016).

The 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict provides a legal framework, but enforcement remains inconsistent, especially in areas where humanitarian law is regularly violated (ICRC, 2017). Moreover, post-conflict recovery often prioritizes basic infrastructure and economic stability over heritage restoration (World Bank, 2020), leading to prolonged periods where sites deteriorate further. Without comprehensive international cooperation and emergency

safeguarding, countries risk losing their world heritage.

### **Technology's Dual Role of Preservation and Exploitation**

The rapid transformation of technology has transformed the way world heritage is being documented and restored. UNESCO praises innovations like 3D modeling, virtual reality reconstructions, and digital archiving for safeguarding at-risk sites, particularly in areas vulnerable to conflicts or climate change (Forte, 2017). Techniques such as photogrammetry and drone imaging have made it possible to digitally preserve monuments like Palmyra in Syria after their physical destruction. However, scholars also warn that technology can lead to the commodification of heritage, turning sites into tourist attractions or digital products managed by private corporations (Labadi, 2013).

Furthermore, Indigenous communities often lose control over their intangible heritage when it is digitized without their consent, leading to ethical violations (Meskell 2008). Without strict international regulations, technological interventions may prioritize economic interest instead of maintaining cultural sensitivity (ICOMOS, 2020). In conclusion, while technology is a useful tool for heritage preservation, it also poses significant risks of exploitation.

## **Major Parties Involved and Their Views**

### **United States**

As a global leader in technological innovation, the United States faces the challenge of balancing development with heritage preservation. Home to numerous UNESCO World Heritage Sites, the U.S. promotes using technology to aid conservation efforts, such as employing 3D scanning and smart monitoring systems (ScienceDirect). While progress can sometimes clash with preservation, the U.S. emphasizes integrating innovation to protect and restore cultural landmarks. The U.S. tends to approach the issue through a lens of accessibility and modernization, viewing technology as a tool to democratize heritage. However, not always ensuring it respects cultural sensitivities.

### **China**

China's rapid urbanization presents challenges in safeguarding its extensive cultural



heritage, including iconic sites like the Great Wall. The country utilizes advanced technologies for preservation. However, it faces criticism for prioritizing development over heritage. China advocates for mostly focus on state-led innovation and increased modernization. It is a consumerism empire (ITIF), with China leading the globe in production, advancements, and other modernization efforts.

## **France**

France places cultural preservation at the core of its national identity, often siding with heritage protection over modernization. Home to over 40 UNESCO sites, the country is deeply involved in international heritage diplomacy and has supported projects that integrate low-impact innovation. The nation promotes sustainable innovation, such as eco-restoration projects, to harmonize modernization with historical preservation. Its position emphasizes sustainable innovation that supports and doesn't replace traditional conservation practices.

## **Italy**

Italy prioritizes preserving historical integrity, often opposing development projects that may threaten UNESCO sites such as Venice. The country leads restoration training and collaborates with nations like Egypt and various Latin American countries on archaeological preservation. While supporting innovation, Italy's conservation efforts emphasize tradition and historical authenticity.

## **Mexico**

Mexico sees cultural heritage as central to its national identity and a key driver of social cohesion. It has made an impact in combining traditional conservation with digital innovation, notably through INAH (Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia), which uses 3D scanning and photogrammetry to preserve archaeological sites like Teotihuacán and Monte Albán. Furthermore, Mexico is among the few countries actively promoting Indigenous leadership in heritage conservation, ensuring local communities have a voice in how their cultural assets are preserved and displayed. Its partnerships with UNESCO, Spain, and Germany (UNESCO) have supported heritage education and sustainable tourism initiatives.

## Germany

Germany has placed cultural heritage at the heart of its national rebuilding efforts since the end of World War II. The country prioritizes innovations that support historical education and commemoration, such as virtual reconstructions of destroyed synagogues and concentration camps. Through institutions like the German Digital Library and the Fraunhofer Institute (Mawista), Germany leads EU-wide heritage preservation projects focused on respectful remembrance. Germany opposes rapid modernization and loss of cultural values due to this. It is also strongly against the commercialization or trivialization of heritage and critiques nations that leverage digital heritage for tourism or business gains (German Gov).

## United Arab Emirates

The United Arab Emirates (UAE) aims to position itself as a model for how heritage and rapid modernization coexist. With huge futuristic cities like Dubai and Abu Dhabi at the forefront of global innovation, the UAE promotes technological tools for restoration and preservation, often in collaboration with the EU and UNESCO. Domestically, however, the country faces criticism for prioritizing futuristic development over conserving tangible and real cultural heritage (Acquera). While the UAE's view is that urbanization and innovation increase knowledge of cultural heritage, their actions within their country have shown less of a balance and more of a focus on progress.

## Timeline of Events, Relevant Resolutions, Treaties, and Events

Date	Description of event
1972	The UNESCO World Heritage Convention was a landmark treaty adopted by UNESCO to identify, protect, and preserve cultural and natural heritage globally. It established the World Heritage List (UNESCO World Heritage Center).
2003	Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage - This treaty broadened the focus of heritage protection by formally recognizing intangible heritage, such as oral traditions and rituals, as equally important (UNESCO Intangible Heritage).
2006-2009	Dresden Elbe Valley, Germany, was placed on the List of World Heritage in Danger and later delisted (taken off the list). Dresden Elbe Valley loses

	its World Heritage status due to the construction of the Waldschlösschen Bridge, sparking global debate over the development versus preservation.
2010	Smart tourism pilots begin in historic European cities, introducing digital tools to manage tourist flow and reduce pressure on fragile heritage sites.
2015	Virtual reconstruction of Palmyra, Syria, begins following its destruction by ISIS. Technologies like 3D imaging and photogrammetry are used to digitally restore lost heritage, highlighting the role of innovation in preservation (Forte 98).
2017	Vienna's historic centre has been added to the list of world heritage sites in danger due to high-rise developments that threaten its historical integrity and cultural landscape (UNESCO, Vienna—Historic Centre).
2020	The Fraunhofer Institute in Germany launches a digital heritage platform aimed at preserving European historical archives through AI and metadata tagging, balancing innovation and ethical data usage (Mawista).
2021	UNESCO's "Urban Heritage Atlas" project begins, offering interactive digital tools to support sustainable planning around urban heritage sites (UNESCO, Urban Heritage Atlas).
2023	Mexico and Germany co-sponsor the "Heritage for the Future" initiative, which promotes indigenous-led innovation in conservation and prioritizes cultural sensitivity in technological interventions (UNESCO, Mexico, and Germany).

## United Nations Involvement

### The Big Picture

The United Nations, especially UNESCO and UN-Habitat, has been at the forefront in addressing the balance between innovation and the preservation of world heritage. As the principal UN body dedicated to cultural and national heritage, UNESCO has established frameworks for international cooperation, including the designation of World Heritage Sites. Additionally, UNESCO has initiated numerous programs and partnerships that leverage technology for digital preservation, while also issuing



warnings about the overuse or misuse of innovation that can harm the authenticity or sustainability of heritage sites. Similarly, the UN-Habitat has promoted sustainable urban development that incorporates the safeguarding of historic environments. Furthermore, the United Nations General Assembly and Security Council have adopted several resolutions emphasizing the need for international cooperation to protect cultural heritage in areas undergoing rapid modernization or conflict-related reconstruction:

### **World Heritage Convention (1972)**

On the 16th of November 1972, UNESCO adopted the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, commonly known as the World Heritage Convention. This treaty established the global framework for identifying and safeguarding sites of natural and cultural significance. Over the years, its operational guidelines have evolved to incorporate technological tools such as GIS mapping, drone imaging, and 3D documentation as part of conservation efforts (UNESCO, 1972). These tools enhance protection but also raise concerns around overexposure, data misuse, and loss of authenticity when technology is applied without ethical restraint.

### **Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (2011)**

Additionally, on the 10th of November 2011, UNESCO adopted the Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape (HUL), promoting the integration of heritage values into modern planning. The HUL approach acknowledges that rapid urban innovation, especially in architecture and digital infrastructure, can displace or damage heritage if not aligned with cultural context (UNESCO, 2011). The recommendation supports the idea that digital tools and community engagement must be harmonized to sustain both progress and tradition.

### **Resolution 2347 (2017)**

Moreover, the United Nations Security Council adopted Resolution 2347 on the 24th of March 2017, which for the first time addressed the destruction and illicit trafficking of cultural heritage as a threat to international peace and security (UNSC, 2017). The resolution urges Member States to deploy technologies like satellite surveillance, 3D scanning, and forensic imaging to document and protect heritage under threat from armed conflict and terrorism. However, it notes the risks of digital replication and misuse,

particularly when cultural data is shared without proper authorization.

### **Resolution A/RES/72/17 (2017)**

The United Nations General Assembly passed Resolution A/RES/72/17, emphasizing the need to safeguard cultural heritage in the context of conflict, disaster, and illicit trade. The resolution highlights the role of innovation in risk preparedness, restoration, and international legal cooperation, while stressing that technologies must be used responsibly to avoid distortion, erasure, or commodification of identity (UNGA, 2017).

### **New Urban Agenda (2016)**

During the Habitat III Conference in Quito, UN-Habitat introduced the New Urban Agenda, a framework linking cultural heritage, innovation, and urban sustainability. The agenda underscores the need to integrate smart technologies and heritage-sensitive planning, particularly in cities facing rapid expansion (UN-Habitat, 2016). It warns that without cultural oversight, innovation risks displacing traditional communities and erasing historical continuity under the guise of modernization.

### **Evaluation of Previous Attempts to Resolve the Issue**

Over the past five decades, international organizations and national governments have attempted to manage the growing conflict between technological innovation and the preservation of world heritage. Early efforts concentrated on establishing protective legal frameworks through conventions like the 1972 UNESCO World Heritage Convention, which successfully outlined criteria for cultural and natural sites of "Outstanding Universal Value" and mobilized global awareness. This agreement also established the World Heritage List, recognizing the importance of preserving cultural and natural heritage sites globally, even as technology and modern development began to have a significant impact on these sites. The Convention aimed to foster international cooperation to ensure that technological advancements did not erode the "cultural, historical, and natural value of these spaces" (UNESCO, "World Heritage Convention"). However, as urbanization and innovation accelerated, these mechanisms were often outpaced by urbanization and development efforts throughout the globe.

In addition to these cultural and architectural conflicts, the rapid urbanization seen in many parts of the world also poses a significant challenge. As cities expand and modernize, heritage sites face the threat of being overshadowed or even destroyed by new constructions. Past efforts aiming to mitigate development's consequences include the implementation of zoning regulations and height restrictions in heritage cities such as Paris and Kyoto, aimed at protecting skyline integrity and architectural coherence. These restrictions have had mixed results; while some cities preserved their historical landmarks, others struggled to accommodate economic growth and have faced other consequences. Vienna and Dresden are examples where construction projects conflicted with heritage regulations and resulted in international backlash and eventual delisting or warning status (UNESCO, "Historic Centre of Vienna"; "Dresden Elbe Valley").

Technological advancements have also been embraced to preserve heritage. The rise of digital tools such as 3D modeling, GIS mapping, and augmented reality has enabled new methods of documentation and public engagement. The reconstruction of damaged sites through digital and virtual experiences has become more mainstream and popular, providing alternative ways to experience and understand heritage, particularly where physical access or manual preservation is no longer feasible or possible. Projects done by institutions like CyArk and the Fraunhofer Institute illustrate the potential of innovation when aligned with conservation goals (Forte). Nevertheless, this approach has caused a lot of challenges, since digital replicas can raise questions of authenticity, ownership, and cultural sensitivity, especially when the communities tied to those heritages are excluded from the digitization process (Meskell). In response, some governments, like Mexico's INAH, have involved Indigenous communities in creating digital archives of intangible traditions, setting a precedent for ethical innovation. As cities expand and technology develops quickly, UNESCO continues to play a key role in ensuring heritage sites are not lost in the rush to modernize. Finding a balance between progress and preservation remains one of the biggest challenges, requiring global cooperation and thoughtful planning (Labadi).

## Possible Solutions

### Implementation of International Ethical Guidelines

To address the ethical use of technology in preserving world heritage, a possible solution is to encourage the creation of international ethical guidelines on the use of emerging technologies in cultural preservation. These guidelines could be developed through cooperation between international organizations to ensure that new technologies are being used to respect historical authenticity, community ownership, and cultural sensitivities. Moreover, international partnerships, data-sharing practices, and cooperative frameworks could be implemented to enhance global collaboration in monitoring, evaluating, and responding to technological developments in heritage protection. These frameworks could facilitate digital archiving and equitable access to knowledge and resources worldwide.

### Establishing International Emergency Protocols

Another possible solution to safeguard world heritage is to establish international emergency protocols designed to protect cultural and natural heritage in areas affected by armed conflict, political instability, or natural disasters. Some potential ideas could be to establish safe zones, develop evacuation strategies, and have a response task force. This affirms safety in conserving world heritage in unpredictable areas. An additional approach is to formulate a more systematic approach to assess the potential impacts of large-scale technological developments on heritage sites. These protocols can integrate traditional and local knowledge with modern planning approaches to ensure that innovation does not undermine heritage preservation.

## Sustainable Development Goal (SDG)

### SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities

This goal focuses on making cities inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable, with a key target being the protection of the world's cultural and natural heritage. The conflict between innovation and the preservation of world heritage directly aligns with SDG 11, as modern technological and infrastructural advancements often place historic sites and cultural landscapes at risk. Urban development, for instance, may lead to the destruction or alteration of heritage locations to make way for new buildings, transport

systems, or tourism facilities. However, innovation also offers powerful tools for preservation, such as digital reconstruction, environmental monitoring, and sustainable urban planning that respects cultural identity, which directly connects to this goal.

## Appendix

*The Appendix contains a selection of key sources curated by the chairs to support and guide delegates in their research. Delegates are encouraged to review the materials provided below.*

<https://whc.unesco.org/en/conventiontext/>

**Source A:** Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage. Sets out international rules for protecting important cultural and natural heritage around the world.

<https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/708271541534427317/pdf/131856-WP-R-EVISED-II-PUBLIC.pdf>

**Source B:** Culture In City Reconstruction and Recovery. Explains how cities can rebuild after crises while also protecting their cultural heritage.

<https://whc.unesco.org/document/139944>

**Source C:** World Heritage and Tourism in a Changing Climate. Looks at how tourism and climate change are affecting World Heritage Sites.

<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/a/ars/13441566.0046.012/--cyark-protecting-cultural-heritage-through-digital?rgn=main;view=fulltext>

**Source D:** CyArk: Protecting Cultural Heritage through Digital Preservation. Describes how technology like 3D scanning helps protect heritage sites at risk.

<https://www.unesco.org/en/legal-affairs/convention-protection-cultural-property-event-armed-conflict-regulations-execution-convention>

**Source E:** Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict with Regulations for the Execution of the Convention. Sets out international laws

that protect cultural heritage during war and conflict.

## Bibliography

Admin. "UAE's Cultural Renaissance: Heritage and Innovation." *Acquera Yachting*, 18 Apr. 2024,

[www.acquerayachting.com/uaes-cultural-reinassance-heritage-innovation](http://www.acquerayachting.com/uaes-cultural-reinassance-heritage-innovation)

Amt, Auswärtiges. "Preservation of Cultural Heritage." *German Federal Foreign Office*, [www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/aussenpolitik/2698374-2698374#:~:text=The%20German%20Government%20has%20been,to%20the%20history%20of%20humankind](http://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/aussenpolitik/2698374-2698374#:~:text=The%20German%20Government%20has%20been,to%20the%20history%20of%20humankind).

"A/RES/75/258 General Assembly." Resolution Adopted by the General Assembly on 21 January 2021, United Nations General Assembly, 26 Jan. 2021, [www.globalr2p.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/UNGA-Res-75-258.pdf](http://www.globalr2p.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/UNGA-Res-75-258.pdf).

Ashton, Daniel. "What Is Adaptive Reuse (and Why Is It Important)?" *University College of Estate Management*, 25 June 2024, [www.ucem.ac.uk/whats-happening/articles/what-is-adaptive-reuse](http://www.ucem.ac.uk/whats-happening/articles/what-is-adaptive-reuse).

Atkinson, Robert D. "China Is Rapidly Becoming a Leading Innovator in Advanced Industries." *ITIF*, 11 Oct. 2024, [itif.org/publications/2024/09/16/china-is-rapidly-becoming-a-leading-innovator-in-advanced-industries](http://itif.org/publications/2024/09/16/china-is-rapidly-becoming-a-leading-innovator-in-advanced-industries).

"Authenticity and Integrity - World Heritage." GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY WORLD HERITAGE INITIATIVE, [worldheritage.gsu.edu/files/2017/04/GSU-WH-AuthenticityIntegrity.CURRENT.pdf](http://worldheritage.gsu.edu/files/2017/04/GSU-WH-AuthenticityIntegrity.CURRENT.pdf).

Accessed 3 May 2025.

Bengad, Maxine. "THE FUTURE OF ARCHAEOLOGY IS DIGITAL | Extent Heritage." *Extent Heritage*, 4 May 2023, [www.extentheritage.com.au/news/the-future-of-archaeology-is-digital](http://www.extentheritage.com.au/news/the-future-of-archaeology-is-digital).

BORTOLOTO, Chiara. "Sophia, Labadi. UNESCO, Cultural Heritage, and Outstanding Universal Value: Value-Based Analyses of the World Heritage and Intangible Cultural Heritage Conventions. Latham: Alta Mira Press, 2013." *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 1 Jan. 2014, [www.academia.edu/20492249/Sophia\\_Labadi\\_UNESCO\\_cultural\\_heritage\\_and\\_outstanding\\_universal\\_value\\_value\\_based\\_analyses\\_of\\_the\\_World\\_Heritage\\_and\\_Intangible\\_Cultural\\_Heritage\\_Conventions](http://www.academia.edu/20492249/Sophia_Labadi_UNESCO_cultural_heritage_and_outstanding_universal_value_value_based_analyses_of_the_World_Heritage_and_Intangible_Cultural_Heritage_Conventions).



- [tangible Cultural Heritage Conventions Latham Alta Mira Press 2013.](#)
- Canada, Global Affairs. "Travelling to or living in a conflict zone? Read on." GAC, 18 Nov. 2024, [www.international.gc.ca/world-monde/stories-histoires/2024/2024-11-13-conflict-zone-conflict.aspx?lang=eng](http://www.international.gc.ca/world-monde/stories-histoires/2024/2024-11-13-conflict-zone-conflict.aspx?lang=eng).
- Clarke, Alan. "Commercialization." *Springer eBooks*, 2016, pp. 164–66. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01384-8\\_436](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01384-8_436).
- "Conservation Research and Design Application of Cultural ...", [iceb.johogo.com/proceedings/2024/ICEB2024\\_paper\\_22.pdf](http://iceb.johogo.com/proceedings/2024/ICEB2024_paper_22.pdf). Accessed 10 May 2025.
- "Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict with Regulations for the Execution of the Convention." *Legal Affairs*, 6 Feb. 2025, [www.unesco.org/en/legal-affairs/convention-protection-cultural-property-event-armed-conflict-regulations-execution-convention](http://www.unesco.org/en/legal-affairs/convention-protection-cultural-property-event-armed-conflict-regulations-execution-convention).
- "Cultural heritage." *UNESCO UIS*, 10 Sept. 2024, [uis.unesco.org/en/glossary-term/cultural-heritage](http://uis.unesco.org/en/glossary-term/cultural-heritage).
- Danciu, Mihai. "Activity-638-121." *Scribd*, [www.scribd.com/document/458216912/activity-638-121](http://www.scribd.com/document/458216912/activity-638-121).
- Davis-Mercer, Elayna. "Italy'S Efforts to Preserve Art and History." *Italics Magazine*, 4 Apr. 2025, [italicsmag.com/2025/04/04/italy-efforts-to-preserve-art-and-history](http://italicsmag.com/2025/04/04/italy-efforts-to-preserve-art-and-history).
- Della Torre, Stefano. "Italian perspective on the planned preventive conservation of architectural heritage." *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, vol. 10, no. 1, Aug. 2020, pp. 108–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foar.2020.07.008>.
- Directory, Sustainability. "Cultural Heritage Integration → Term." *Energy → Sustainability Directory*, 5 Feb. 2025, [energy.sustainability-directory.com/term/cultural-heritage-integration](http://energy.sustainability-directory.com/term/cultural-heritage-integration).
- Dychtwald, Zak. "China'S New Innovation Advantage." *Harvard Business Review*, 1 May 2021, [hbr.org/2021/05/chinas-new-innovation-advantage](http://hbr.org/2021/05/chinas-new-innovation-advantage).
- Efforts of the UAE Government in Preserving the Heritage | the Official Portal of the UAE Government*. [u.ae/en/about-the-uae/culture/arab-and-islamic-heritage/efforts-of-the-uae-government-in-preserving-the-heritage](http://u.ae/en/about-the-uae/culture/arab-and-islamic-heritage/efforts-of-the-uae-government-in-preserving-the-heritage).
- Epstein, Joanna Kendall. "CyArk: Protecting Cultural Heritage through Digital



[QcAA.WF3obl2lDtqgvMFRqVdYkD5s.](https://www.mawista.com/blog/germany-cultural-heritage-digitalization/)

Mawista. "Germany's Role in Preserving Cultural Heritage." Mawista.com, 2020, [www.mawista.com/blog/germany-cultural-heritage-digitalization/](https://www.mawista.com/blog/germany-cultural-heritage-digitalization/)

"Mexico celebrates 20 years of the instrument that mobilizes the world for living heritage and its communities." UNESCO, 21 Oct. 2024, [www.unesco.org/en/articles/mexico-celebrates-20-years-instrument-mobilizes-world-living-heritage-and-its-communities](https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/mexico-celebrates-20-years-instrument-mobilizes-world-living-heritage-and-its-communities).

New Urban Agenda, 2017, [habitat3.org/wp-content/uploads/NUA-English.pdf](https://habitat3.org/wp-content/uploads/NUA-English.pdf).

Operational Guidelines for the Implementation Of ..., [whc.unesco.org/document/203803](https://whc.unesco.org/document/203803). Accessed 10 May 2025.

Pierre. "German Cultural Heritage." MAWISTA, 15 June 2022, [www.mawista.com/en/blog/germany/german-cultural-heritage](https://www.mawista.com/en/blog/germany/german-cultural-heritage).

Protecting Cultural Heritage, [www.unodc.org/documents/publications/SRIUN\\_Protecting\\_Cultural\\_Heritage\\_2016.09.12\\_LR.pdf](https://www.unodc.org/documents/publications/SRIUN_Protecting_Cultural_Heritage_2016.09.12_LR.pdf). Accessed 10 May 2025.

"RECOMMENDATION ON THE HISTORIC URBAN LANDSCAPE." UNESCO, 10 Nov. 2011, [whc.unesco.org/uploads/activities/documents/activity-638-98.pdf](https://whc.unesco.org/uploads/activities/documents/activity-638-98.pdf).

Researcher, Staff. "How Technology Facilitates Culture Heritage Restoration and Preservation — AMT Lab @ CMU." AMT Lab @ CMU, 16 Aug. 2022, [amt-lab.org/blog/2022/5/how-can-technologies-help-with-culture-heritages-restoration-and-preservation](https://amt-lab.org/blog/2022/5/how-can-technologies-help-with-culture-heritages-restoration-and-preservation).

Site en maintenance. [www.proyectopatrimonio.info/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Uso-y-aprovechamiento-de-nuevas-tecnologias-en-la-conservacion-del-patrimonio.pdf](https://www.proyectopatrimonio.info/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Uso-y-aprovechamiento-de-nuevas-tecnologias-en-la-conservacion-del-patrimonio.pdf).

Smith, Christopher A. "Innovation Vs. Preservation: Striking the Perfect Balance." *Join the Collective*, 4 Oct. 2023, [www.jointhecollective.com/article/balancing-innovation-with-preservation](https://www.jointhecollective.com/article/balancing-innovation-with-preservation).

S/RES/2347 (2017) | Security Council. [main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/s/res/2347-%282017%29](https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/s/res/2347-%282017%29).

"Stakeholders – Who Are They?" Cardiff University, 22 Jan. 2021, [blogs.cardiff.ac.uk/conservation/stakeholders-who-are-they](https://blogs.cardiff.ac.uk/conservation/stakeholders-who-are-they).

Stone, Peter G. "Protecting Cultural Property in Armed Conflict: The Necessity for Dialogue and Action Integrating the Heritage, Military, and Humanitarian

Sectors." *Cultural Heritage and Mass Atrocities*, 20 Sept. 2022, [www.getty.edu/publications/cultural-heritage-mass-atrocities/part-5/31-stone](http://www.getty.edu/publications/cultural-heritage-mass-atrocities/part-5/31-stone).

THE 17 GOALS | Sustainable Development. [sdgs.un.org/goals](https://sdgs.un.org/goals).

Times, Global. "Chinese Authorities Vow to Promote Hi-tech Innovation in Cultural Heritage Protection." Copyright 2021 by the Global Times, [www.globaltimes.cn/page/202311/1302475.shtml](http://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202311/1302475.shtml).

UNESCO World Heritage Centre. *Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage*. [whc.unesco.org/en/conventiontext](http://whc.unesco.org/en/conventiontext).

UNESCO. *Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage*. [whc.unesco.org/en/conventiontext](http://whc.unesco.org/en/conventiontext).

UNESCO. "Dresden is deleted from UNESCO's World Heritage List." UNESCO World Heritage Centre, [whc.unesco.org/en/news/522](http://whc.unesco.org/en/news/522).

UNESCO. "Historic Centre of Vienna." UNESCO World Heritage Centre, [whc.unesco.org/en/list/1033](http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1033).

UNESCO. Mexico and Germany Launch "Heritage for the Future". 2023, [www.unesco.org/en/articles/heritage-future-initiative-launch](http://www.unesco.org/en/articles/heritage-future-initiative-launch).

UNESCO Promotes in Mexico a Reflection Process on the World Heritage Convention, 50 Years after Its Creation | UNESCO, [www.unesco.org/en/articles/unesco-promotes-mexico-reflection-process-world-heritage-convention-50-years-after-its-creation](http://www.unesco.org/en/articles/unesco-promotes-mexico-reflection-process-world-heritage-convention-50-years-after-its-creation). Accessed 10 May 2025.

UNESCO. *Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape*. [whc.unesco.org/en/hul](http://whc.unesco.org/en/hul).

UNESCO. *Urban Heritage Atlas*. UNESCO, 2021, [whc.unesco.org/en/urbanheritageatlas/](http://whc.unesco.org/en/urbanheritageatlas/)

UNESCO. UNESCO World Heritage Centre. [whc.unesco.org/en/urbanheritageatlas](http://whc.unesco.org/en/urbanheritageatlas).

UNESCO. UNESCO World Heritage Centre - Compendium. [whc.unesco.org/en/compendium/?&Documents=1&action=list&id\\_faq\\_themes=962](http://whc.unesco.org/en/compendium/?&Documents=1&action=list&id_faq_themes=962).

UNESCO. Vienna – Historic Centre. UNESCO World Heritage Centre, 2017, [whc.unesco.org/en/list/1033/](http://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1033/)

UNESCO. What is World Heritage? - Questions and Answers - UNESCO World Heritage Centre. [whc.unesco.org/en/faq/19](http://whc.unesco.org/en/faq/19).

UNESCO. World Heritage and Sustainable Development. [whc.unesco.org/en/sustainabledevelopment](http://whc.unesco.org/en/sustainabledevelopment).

WAM.

[www.wam.ae/article/14a3en9-uae%E2%80%99s-cultural-achievements-2024-highlight](http://www.wam.ae/article/14a3en9-uae%E2%80%99s-cultural-achievements-2024-highlight).

What is Heritage preservation/conservation | IGI Global Scientific Publishing.  
[www.igi-global.com/dictionary/e-learning-platforms-in-heritage-education/116998](http://www.igi-global.com/dictionary/e-learning-platforms-in-heritage-education/116998).

WHC21-44COM-7B.Add-En.Pdf,

[whc.unesco.org/archive/2021/whc21-44com-7B.Add-en.pdf](http://whc.unesco.org/archive/2021/whc21-44com-7B.Add-en.pdf). Accessed 10 May 2025.

Wilson, Helen. *The Future of Our Pasts: Engaging Cultural Heritage in Climate Action Outline of Climate Change and Cultural Heritage* - ICOMOS Open Archive: EPrints on Cultural Heritage. [openarchive.icomos.org/id/eprint/2459](http://openarchive.icomos.org/id/eprint/2459).

World Heritage and Tourism in a Changing Climate,  
[whc.unesco.org/document/139944](http://whc.unesco.org/document/139944). Accessed 10 May 2025.

Worldbank,

[documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/708271541534427317/pdf/131856-WP-RE-UISED-II-PUBLIC.pdf](https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/708271541534427317/pdf/131856-WP-RE-UISED-II-PUBLIC.pdf). Accessed 10 May 2025.